THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.19564 OF 2000.

Between:

M/s Srinivas & Company rep. by

Mr.Bandari Srinivas, s/o Ramulu,

Aged 52 years, Engineerrs & Contractor,

Managing Partner M/s Srinivas & Company,

Flat No.101, Srujana Apartments,

3-6-628, Street No.8, Himayathnagar,

Hyderabad – 29.

A n d

….Petitioner

1. The Principal Secretary,

Animal Husbandary & Fisheries Department,

Government of Andhra Prades, -cum – Chairman,

Project Implementation Committee for Modern

Abbattoir at Changicherla,

Ghatkesar Mandal, R.R.District,

OFF: Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad –22 **and another.**

…. Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.19564 OF 2000.

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a mandamus to declare the action of the respondents in changing the calender schedule of the tenders of short tender notice issued through Bid Notice Nos.3 to 7/MEAT/APMDA/2000, dated 13-09-2000 without intimating the change in advance to the tenders, including the petitioner, as illegal and arbitrary.

The second respondent-Managing Director of A.P. Meat Development Corporation Limited, Hyderabad, issued a short tender notice through Bid Notice Nos. 3 to 7/MEAT/APMDA/2000, dated 13-09-2000 inviting sealed tenders for five works, under Bid Notice Nos.3, 4, 5, 6 and 7. So far as Bid Notice No.3 is concerned, the petitioner has offered his rights within the stipulated time i.e., 09-10-2000. It is the case of the petitioner that so far as Bid Notice No.6 is concerned, though the last date was extended to 13-10-2000 up to 02.00 P.M., but the same was not intimated to the petitioner and when the petitioner went to submit his tenders at 03.00 P.M., on the said date, they were not accepted.

But in the counter-affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents, it is stated that so far as Bid Notice No.6, which relates to construction of security blocks and civil works for power and generator room is concerned, the last date for submission of bids is extended to 13-10-2000 up to 02-00 P.M., but not up to 1600 hours, as stated by the petitioner. It is further stated that the respondents have intimated the said date to all the nine firms on 09-10-2000 and also obtained their acknowledgments. It is further stated that the petitioner's brother visited the respondent – Corporation on 13-10-2000 at 03-00 P.M., to submit the sealed tender and, as such, the bid Notice No.6 was not accepted.

In view of the counter-affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents, it cannot be said that there was no notice to the petitioner in the change of schedule. It is always open for the tenderer to change the schedule as per administrative convenience. No doubt, it is stated in the counter-affidavit, but the same was also intimated to all the nine firms who participated in the tenders.

In that view of the matter, I do not find any merit in this writ petition so as to grant the

relief as prayed for.

Writ petition is devoid of merits and is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

18-08-2005.

Lrkm.